

I oppose loosening the rules designed to promote and protect diversity of media ownership. These rules were adopted to ensure that the public would receive a diverse range of viewpoints from the media, and not simply the opinions of a handful of media conglomerates.

How far do you guys want to take this? Would a single, all powerful media entity serve the public welfare? Hello? Are you ignorant, or just payed off? These rules were established for a reason, to encourage media diversity. You should be ashamed to even consider rescinding them.